



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/757,222	01/14/2004	John David Kaewell JR.	I-1-0064.5US	3792

24374 7590 11/30/2009

VOLPE AND KOENIG, P.C.
DEPT. ICC
UNITED PLAZA, SUITE 1600
30 SOUTH 17TH STREET
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103

EXAMINER

CHEN, JUNPENG

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2618

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

11/30/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/757,222	Applicant(s) KAEWELL ET AL.	
	Examiner JUNPENG CHEN	Art Unit 2618	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 October 2009.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 9-12, 14-16, 18-22, 24-26, 28-32, 34-36 and 38-51 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 9-12, 14-16, 18-22, 24-26, 28-32, 34-36 and 38-51 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>07/29/2009</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is in response to applicant's request of Continued Examination (RCE) filed on 10/26/2009 on amendments/arguments filed on 10/26/2009. Claims 9-12, 14-17, 18-22, 24-26, 29-32, 34-36 and 38 have been amended. Claims 40-51 have been added. Currently, claims 9-12, 14-16, 18-22, 24-26, 28-32, 34-36 and 38-51 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of

Art Unit: 2618

the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 9-12, 14-16, 18-22, 24-26, 28-32, 34-36 and 38-51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wieczorek et al. (U.S. Patent 5,150,361) in view of **Ariyavisitakul** et al. (U.S. Patent 5,333,175).

Consider **claim 9**, Wieczorek discloses a time division multiple access (TDMA) wireless subscriber unit comprising:

a plurality of circuit components, wherein each of the plurality of circuit components is configured to operate in a first signal processing state having an on power consumption level, a second signal processing state having an off power consumption level (read as non-energy saving mode and the lower power mode, col. 5 with lines 4-21); and

a power interface circuit coupled to the plurality of circuit components: wherein the power interface circuit is configured to provide at least one of the on power consumption level, and the off power consumption level (read as battery saver 351 during non-energy saving mode and the lower power mode, Figure 3),

wherein at least one of the plurality of circuit components is configured to transition among the first signal processing state and the second signal processing

Art Unit: 2618

state, based on a time slot of a TDMA frame assigned to the TDMA wireless subscriber unit (read as the D/A 322, col. 4 with lines 24-27).

However, Wieczorek discloses the claimed invention above but does not specifically disclose a third signal processing state having an intermediate power consumption level, which couples to the power interface circuit.

Nonetheless, in related art, Ariyavisitakui discloses a method and apparatus for dynamic power control in TDMA portable radio system, which during the transmitting periods, power supplied to the transmitter (i.e. circuit elements of the power amplifier) are adjusted dynamically (i.e. up /down), that is, allowing the circuit elements of the transmitter to operate in different energy modes (i.e. using more energy and using less energy, and off during receiving time slots), Figure 3, col. 15 of line 56 to col. 16 of line 28.

Therefore, it would have be obvious for a person with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Ariyayisitakui into the teachings of Wieczorek for reducing the power consumption by dynamically controlling the power usages. And since the D/A 322 operates in the power modes, it transitions *among* the plurality of states.

Consider **claim 19**, Wieczorek discloses a method for use in a time division multiple access (TDMA) wireless subscriber unit, the method comprising: synchronizing phase with a received signal (read as the synchronization signal 310, controller 320 and synthesizer 334, Figure 3, col. 4 with lines 1-63); operating each a plurality of circuit components in a first signal processing state having an on power consumption level, a

Art Unit: 2618

second signal processing state having an off power consumption level (read as non-energy saving mode and the lower power mode, col. 5 with lines 4-21),

transitioning at least one of the plurality of circuit components *among* the first signal processing state and the second signal processing state based on a time slot of a TDMA frame assigned to the TDMA wireless subscriber unit (read as the D/A 322, col. 4 with lines 24-27).

However, Wieczorek discloses the claimed invention above but does not specifically disclose a third signal processing state having an intermediate power consumption level.

Nonetheless, in related art, Ariyavisitakui discloses a method and apparatus for dynamic power control in TDMA portable radio system, which during the transmitting periods, power supplied to the transmitter (i.e. circuit elements of the power amplifier) are adjusted dynamically (i.e. up /down), that is, allowing the circuit elements of the transmitter to operate in different energy modes (i.e. using more energy and using less energy, and off during receiving time slots), Figure 3, col. 15 of line 56 to col. 16 of line 28.

Therefore, it would have be obvious for a person with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Ariyayisitakui into the teachings of Wieczorek for reducing the power consumption by dynamically controlling the power usages. And since the D/A 322 operates in the power modes, it transitions *among* the plurality of states.

Consider **claim 29**, Wieczorek discloses a processor comprising:

Art Unit: 2618

a power interface circuit configured to power a plurality of circuit components (read as battery saver 351 during non-energy saving mode and the lower power mode, Figure 3), wherein each circuit component of the plurality of circuit components is configured to operate in a first signal processing state having an on power consumption level and a second signal processing state having an off power consumption level (read as non-energy saving mode and the lower power mode, col. 5 with lines 4-21);

wherein at least one of the plurality of circuit components is configured to transition transitions among the of first signal processing state and the second signal processing state based on a time slot of a TDMA frame (read as the D/A 322, col. 4 with lines 24-27).

However, Wieczorek discloses the claimed invention above but does not specifically disclose a third signal processing state having an intermediate power consumption level.

Nonetheless, in related art, Ariyavisitakui discloses a method and apparatus for dynamic power control in TDMA portable radio system, which during the transmitting periods, power supplied to the transmitter (i.e. circuit elements of the power amplifier) are adjusted dynamically (i.e. up /down), that is, allowing the circuit elements of the transmitter to operate in different energy modes (i.e. using more energy and using less energy, and off during receiving time slots), Figure 3, col. 15 of line 56 to col. 16 of line 28.

Therefore, it would have be obvious for a person with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Ariyayisitakui into the

Art Unit: 2618

teachings of Wieczorek for reducing the power consumption by dynamically controlling the power usages. And since the D/A 322 operates in the power modes, it transitions *among* the plurality of states.

Consider **claims 10, 20 and 30, as applied to claims 9, 19 and 29 above respectively**, Wieczorek, as modified by Ariyayisitakui, discloses a plurality of clocks, wherein one of the plurality of clocks is selected for one of the plurality of circuit components based on a current one of the first signal processing state, the second signal processing state, and the third signal processing states (read as the various clock signals, including but not limited to a TDM frame clock, slot clock, and data symbol clock that also exist in the RF communication units in Figure 3, col. 2 with lines 60-57).

Consider **claims 11, 21 and 31, as applied to claim 10, 20 and 30 above respectively**, Wieczorek, as modified by Ariyayisitakui, discloses a software controlled register coupled to the plurality of circuit components, wherein the software controller register is configured to produce the plurality of clocks (read as controller 320 inherently having software in it to process instructions to operate the communication unit, Figure 3, col. 4 with 39-66).

Consider **claims, 12, 22 and 32, as applied to claims 9, 19 and 29 above respectively**, Wieczorek, as modified by Ariyayisitakui, discloses wherein each circuit components of the plurality of circuit components is further configured to operate in fourth signal processing state including a reduced power sub-state (read as plurality of power adjustment states of Ariyayisitakui, Figure 3, Figure 3, col. 15 of line 56 to col. 16 of line 28 of Ariyayisitakui).

Art Unit: 2618

Consider **claims 14, 24 and 34, as applied to claims 13, 23 and 33 above respectively**, Wieczorek, as modified by Ariyayisitakui, discloses wherein one of the plurality of circuit components is configured to retain operating state information to resume processing in response to a transition from the third signal processing state to the first signal processing states (read as the controller 320 maintains operating (reduce speed when necessary) during all modes/states, Figure 3, col. 4 with 39-66).

Consider **claims 15, 25 and 35, as applied to claims 9, 19 and 29 above respectively**, Wieczorek, as modified by Ariyayisitakui, discloses wherein at least one of the plurality of circuit components is configured to transition from the first signal processing state to either the second signal processing state or the third signal processing state (read transmitter 324 is deactivated unless the communication unit is transmitting, col. 4 with lines 24-30).

Consider **claims 16, 26 and 36, as applied to claims 9, 19 and 29 above respectively**, Wieczorek, as modified by Ariyayisitakui, discloses wherein the plurality of circuit components are configured to be selectively operate in any one of the first signal processing state, the second signal processing state, and the third signal processing state responsive to a radio control channel timeslot to determine the presence of call traffic or a traffic channel assigned to the TDMA wireless subscriber unit (read as the re-activation of the circuit in the receiving section, col. 4 with line 60 to col. 5 with line 49).

Consider **claims 18, 28 and 38, as applied to claims 9, 19 and 29 above respectively**, Wieczorek, as modified by Ariyayisitakui, discloses wherein one of the

Art Unit: 2618

plurality of circuit components is configured to transition *among* the first signal processing state, the second signal processing state, and the third signal processing state during a signal time slot (read as different power levels (adjustments) during transmitter slot, Figure 3, Figure 3, col. 15 of line 56 to col. 16 of line 28 of Ariyayisitakui).

Consider **claim 39, as applied to claim 29 above**, Wieczorek, as modified by Ariyayisitakui, discloses wherein at least one of the plurality of circuit components is collocated with the processor (read as Figure 3).

Consider **claims 40, 44 and 48, as applied to claims 9, 19 and 29 above respectively**, Wieczorek, as modified by Ariyayisitakui, discloses wherein a first circuit component and a second circuit component of the plurality of circuit components are configured to operate concurrently in the first and third signal processing state (read as the clock signal generator and timer in controller 320 during power adjustments of the transmitter, Figure 3, col. 15 of line 56 to col. 16 of line 28 of Ariyayisitakui).

Consider **claims 41, 45 and 49, as applied to claims 40, 44 and 48 above respectively**, Wieczorek, as modified by Ariyayisitakui, discloses wherein a third circuit component of the plurality of circuit components is configured to operate in the second signal processing state concurrently with the first and second circuit component (read as the D/A 322, col. 4 with lines 24-27).

Consider **claims 42, 46 and 50, as applied to claims 9, 19 and 49 above respectively**, Wieczorek, as modified by Ariyayisitakui, discloses wherein a first circuit component and a second circuit component of the plurality of circuit components are

Art Unit: 2618

configured to operate concurrently in the second and third signal processing states, respectively (read as clock generator (at reduced speed) and D/A 322, col. 4 with lines 24-27).

Consider **claims 43, 47 and 51, as applied to claims 42, 46 and 50 above respectively**, Wieczorek, as modified by Ariyayisitakui, discloses wherein a third circuit component of the plurality of circuit components is configured to operate in the first signal processing state concurrently with the first and second circuit components (read as the timer, clock generator (at reduced speed) and D/A 322, col. 4 with lines 24-27).

Conclusion

6. Any response to this Office Action should be **faxed to (571) 273-8300 or mailed to:**

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to

Customer Service Window
Randolph Building
401 Dulany Street
Alexandria, VA 22314

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Junpeng Chen whose telephone number is (571) 270-1112. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 8:00 a.m. - 5:00 p.m., EST.

Art Unit: 2618

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Urban can be reached on (571) 272-7899. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Junpeng Chen
J.C./jc

/Edward Urban/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2618